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Abstract: Recognition of the data-driven technological nature of society the current trend in the sociology of education is emphasis on statistical literacy. As such, many university-level sociology departments across the globe require undergraduate students to successfully complete a social statistics course to meet minimum program requirements. In an effort to increase student access to the required statistical education, courses (traditionally taught exclusively on campus) are now moving to online platforms. There are a number of significant challenges that impact both the instructor’s ability to effectively teach and enrolled student’s ability to successfully complete an online statistics courses. Unfortunately, the most current review of scholarship on the topic of challenges and innovations in teaching statistics examined literature published between 1993 and 2010 is outdated due to rapid advancement in educational technology. The purpose of this systematic review of literature (2010 to 2018) is to contribute to the scholarship of teaching and learning undergraduate social statistics online in two distinct ways. First, to provide a resource for educational researchers, by compiling, synthesizing, and discussing a decade of academic literature on the topic of challenges to teaching and learning undergraduate statistics online into one easily accessible manuscript. Second, to provide a resource for educators, by adding new pedagogical insights and suggestions for practical instructional interventions to address teaching and learning challenges.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The deskilling of workers, credentialism, and globalization, brought out by the Wall Street era of expansion necessitates that individuals must earn a degree in order to compete in the U.S. job market (Cottom, 2017; Bosquet, 2008). As a result, the nation has morphed into an information society that is compounded by the challenges of the data-driven technological nature of society along with a complex mix of socio-economic and demographic factors that limit access to higher education. This challenge highlights the importance of statistical literacy among undergraduate students who are bombarded daily with information in the media. These media reports require statistical literacy so that students can make informed decisions based on statistical data rather than on emotions or media reports (Sharma, 2017). Undergraduate students who lack statistical literacy may not only find it difficult to discriminate between the types of information and sources, but also it may be difficult to interpret, evaluate, and effectively communicate their reactions to the media (Sharma, 2017). Since statistical literacy is a critical skill for students, enrolling in online social statistics courses widens their access to knowledge to build statistical literacy.

Problem Statement:

Higher education is the gateway to opportunity (Mannay & Wilcock, 2015). In an effort to keep up with the way in which the globalized labor force is developing within the current data-driven society, the higher educational system is transforming into information universities (Bosquet, 2008). Numerous studies highlight how the higher education system
is shifting from the traditional on-campus mode of teaching classes to using information technologies to improve access to higher education (Bousquet, 2008; Cottom, 2017; Fielding, 2016; Garcia & Cuello, 2010; Kinghorn, 2014). Educational technology has enabled time and place constrained students to complete some if not all courses online. For example, Allen, Seaman, Poulin, and Straut (2016) reported that approximately 28% of the U.S. student population has taken at least one distance class. Further, approximately 2.85 million students reported completing all of their undergraduate coursework online (Allen, Seaman, Poulin, & Straut, 2016). In light of this enrollment trend, instructors are tasked with redesigning their traditional on-campus courses into courses that can be delivered online. For undergraduate online social statistics course instructors, this task can be particularly difficult due to the significant challenges related to teaching and learning statistics. This article explores current literature regarding the teaching and learning of undergraduate statistics online to present an overview of the challenges. Innovative pedagogical strategies as instructional interventions of teaching and learning challenges are also reviewed in an effort to provide recommendations for instructors and educational researchers.

Research Objectives:
The purpose of this systematic review of literature is to answer the following two research questions: (1) what are the current challenges for teaching and learning statistics in undergraduate-level online learning environments?; and (2) what are the data-driven instructional intervention strategies to facilitate success for distance statistics students?

II. METHODOLOGY

Sources of Data and Article Identification:
The primary source of data for this manuscript consists of academic articles that were published in peer-reviewed journals. The intent was to conduct a systematic review of contemporary literature (i.e., published within the last ten years), as such the method remained consistent for each stage; the only change was the search term. For example, in stage one, the following procedure was used. First, Texas Woman’s University’s (TWU) online library database system was accessed through the internet. Next, EBSCOhost software was used to search for articles from all databases (Academic Search Complete, Agricola


Professional Development Collection, PsycARTICLES, Psychology and Behavioral Sciences Collection, Public Affairs Index


Then using EBSCOhost’s Advanced Search Option, the Boolean/Phrase search mode was used with the following keywords “access to higher education AND online". Next, the following limiters were applied to refine the search results:
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(a) scholarly peer reviewed journals, (b) published date from 2008 to 2018, and (c) language- English. This process was repeated for the following search terms: “online statistics course”, “statistics distance education”, “web-based statistics”, “online undergraduate statistics”, “teach statistics AND online”, “distance learning AND statistics class”, “distance learning AND statistics course”, distance education AND statistics course”, and “online AND statistics course”.

Article Selection Method:
The following outlines the article selection method used for this research. In addition to the limiters used within the article identification stage, only papers that satisfy the following inclusion criteria were selected: (a) research population, was applied, to include only articles with a post-secondary undergraduate student population (i.e., K-12, graduate, and continuing adult education populations were excluded); (b) course-level criteria to include only articles that focused on basic introductory-level statistics courses (advanced and specialized statistics courses were excluded); (c) course delivery method criteria to include only courses that were delivered online. This criteria was established to not only narrow the discussion about challenges from all college students within any statistics courses, but to also to discuss the pedagogical responses for undergraduate students enrolled in online statistics courses. The next selection factor, involved intentional selection of articles across multiple disciplines to build a multi-disciplinary perspective.

Analytical Strategy:
The selected articles were synthesized to answer the following two research questions. (1) Since the general consensus in the literature is that distance learning does increase access to higher education, what are the potential barriers and facilitators for student success in distance learning? (2) What are the evidence-based best practices for teaching statistics online? As each article was reviewed, a constant comparison with a content analysis method was used to code the text in each of the articles for words and phrases that stood out (Savin-Baden & Major, 2013) while constantly comparing the different articles codes with one another to identify emerging themes.

Definitions:
Various terms are used interchangeably in literature to describe internet-based higher education courses; these included: distance learning, distance education, online course, online class, online distance education, online education, online learning, e-learning, virtual classroom, and virtual learning. In this review, I use distance learning to mean the act of participation in a university or college-level educational courses that are delivered with a web-based learning platform to students (Ferrer-Cascales, Walker, Reig-Ferrer, Fernandez-Pascual, & Albaladejo-Blazquez, 2011). In this manuscript statistical literacy is defined as a goal for statistical students so that they can be good “statistical citizens” (Rumsey, 2002, p. 1). In other words, statistical literacy is a term that is used to describe students who have an understanding of statistical processes so that they can think critically, apply appropriate statistical tools, and interpret data to make informed decisions and be able to effectively participate in communicating those results to other members of society (Rumsey, 2002).

III. RESULTS
The following section highlights results from the systematic literature review. A table highlighting the main points for each of the articles is presented (see Table 1.). This is followed by with a brief synthesis of research grouped by themes which emerged within the articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author &amp; Discipline</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Main Points/Findings</th>
<th>Emerging Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrer-Cascales et.al. (2011) Psychology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Described various instruments to evaluate both teaching and learning in distance courses. They tested the Distance Education Learning Environments Survey (DELES) that measured psycho-social factors distance learning.</td>
<td>Assessment of Distance Education Education communication technology, program validation, asynchronous communication, technology transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fielding (2016) English</td>
<td>Critical essay</td>
<td>The essay critiqued the misconception that online education allows students to access higher education “any time, and any from any</td>
<td>Online education, public &amp; private learning spaces, ecology theories, technology, geographic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Novelty Journals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author &amp; Discipline</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Main Points/Findings</th>
<th>Pedagogical Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garcia &amp; Cuello (2010)</td>
<td>Critical analysis</td>
<td>They examine the ways in which virtual education is a way to redistribute knowledge to populations such as women with families and students with disabilities. They also examine the ways in which virtual education minimizes geographical barriers (i.e. living in rural areas) which limits access to higher education for some because of the long commute.</td>
<td>Equity in access, redistribution of knowledge in higher education, virtual education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaskell &amp; Mills (2014)</td>
<td>Critical analysis</td>
<td>The authors identified the following four main challenges for distance and e-learning: teaching quality, student perceptions, quality assurance, outcomes and access.</td>
<td>Barriers for distance learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guravaiah (2017) Commerce</td>
<td>Critical analysis</td>
<td>International (India) study that focused on globalization, technology, and privatization dramatically impact how individuals can compete to earn a living in the global economy. As a result, higher education must respond to the challenges by improving access to education to prepare students for employability in the global market. Challenges include curriculum design, technology, quality of teaching, quality of learning, and financing the cost of education.</td>
<td>Educational quality, structural economic difficulties and governmental support, library infrastructure, student quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinghorn (2014) Economics</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Investigated on-line courses as a way to improve access for non-traditional students to higher education. The digital divide was cited as a significant barrier. Findings suggest that using best practices such as virtual collaboration can help students learn in small groups.</td>
<td>Online courses, nontraditional college students, communication in distance education, collaborative learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letseka &amp; Pitsoe (2014) Education</td>
<td>Critical analysis</td>
<td>This study in higher education focuses on the benefits and challenges of open distance learning as a means to access higher education. The challenges included: student support and dropout rate. The main benefit is that it broadens access to higher education.</td>
<td>Working adult students, quality of education, learner support prospects &amp; challenges, student success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannay &amp; Wilcox (2015) Psychology</td>
<td>Mixed methods</td>
<td>Higher education is a way to increase access to marginalize in Whales. This paper looked at how educational cultures serve as a barrier that perpetuated disadvantage.</td>
<td>Non-traditional students, articulation challenges, drop-out rate, learner support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moloney &amp; Oakley (2010) Education, Academic Affairs</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Explores online enrollment trends, challenges, and opportunities to increase access.</td>
<td>Online enrollment growth and trends, online programs, successful implementation, institutional support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signor &amp; Moore (2014) Information Systems</td>
<td>Quantitative case study</td>
<td>Examined learning design for online classes that serve a diverse body of undergraduate students.</td>
<td>Electronic learning, nontraditional students, student diversity, student participation, evaluation methods, educational strategies, courseware</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pedagogical Responses**

**Author & Discipline** | **Methodology** | **Main Points/Findings** | **Emerging Themes** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aragon &amp; Wickramasinghe (2016) Mathematical Sciences</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>An innovative study that investigated the rate of student success in a distance intro to statistics course by measuring the amount of time watching instructor made videos, communication, and time spent of assignments, and exam scores.</td>
<td>Methods for assessing student learning in distance statistics courses, effective online teaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjornsdottir, Garfield, &amp; Everson (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casement (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Independent Academic</td>
<td>Critical analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaval et al. (2017)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delucchi (2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dierker et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychology, Population health, Brain Sciences</td>
<td>Anecdotal descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn, McDonal, &amp; Loch (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Science, Health, Education, Mathematics</td>
<td>Quantitative and qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everson &amp; Garfield (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational Psychology</td>
<td>Anecdotal Descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fask, Englander, &amp; Wang (2015)</td>
<td>Economics and Finance</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>This study examined exams within distance statistics courses to measure the levels of student cheating behaviors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrandino (2016)</td>
<td>Public and Environmental Affairs</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>This study described a course design that allowed for failure as a pedagogical strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrer-Cascales et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Described various instruments to evaluate both teaching and learning in distance courses. They tested the Distance Education Learning Environments Survey (DELES) that measured psycho-social factors distance learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazioglu (2013)</td>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>Anecdotal descriptive</td>
<td>This article discusses the process of planning, developing, and creating an online statistics course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gundlach, et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Compared online to face-to-face classes using STATS and SRA to identify effect on exams &amp; homework scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedges (2017)</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental research to explore student attitudes and performance between face-to-face and online courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome (2011)</td>
<td>Anecdotal descriptive</td>
<td>Anecdotal descriptive</td>
<td>This author discussed using Microsoft Excel software as an effective tool for distance statistics classes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judi et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Access student attitude and learning in Malaysia using a role-play tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzo (2012)</td>
<td>Educational Consultant</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td>Examined the foundations for student satisfaction in online courses. Specifically, he looked at pedagogical practices and instructional design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills (2015)</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Anecdotal descriptive</td>
<td>Described effective practices and GAISE curriculum for teaching and learning statistics online.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills &amp; Raju (2011)</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>Conducted a literature review regarding the effective implementation of online statistics courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sami (2011)</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>This study examined if course completion rates were impacted by the delivery (face-to-face or online) of the intro statistics course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen (2015)</td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Pilot study to examine a web-2 tool using wiki’s to promote active involvement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges for Enhancing Access to Higher Education with Online Education:

Time and Place Constraints:

The literature on widening access to post-secondary education provides a foundation for understanding the time and place constraints online learning. There are a number of competing approaches to expanding access; however, the focus here is the use of technology to deliver courses online. In contemporary society, we are socialized to believe that America is the land of opportunities. One factor that contributes to this widely-held misconceptions are the educational inequalities that limit access to a number of different groups such as non-traditional students, older female students, student veterans, students with disabilities, first-time in college students, and students that identify their race/ethnic as non-white. The institution of education forms the foundation upon which the Welfare State facilitates equitable distribution of goods such as wealth and knowledge (Garcia & Cuello, 2010). I believe that all society members should have equal access to these goods regardless of their age, health, and their identified race/ethnicity, gender, and religion. Online courses make access to higher education easier for certain marginalized population that otherwise might be excluded (Garcia & Cuello, 2010). One researcher reports that distance learning is a revolutionary way for higher education to do business and that courses offered over the internet, “makes more knowledge more easily obtainable for more students than ever before” (Casement, 2013, p.15). In a recent study, Goodman, Melkers, and Pallais (2017) reported that the educational option for online courses significantly increased the number of students that enrolled in the program. The researchers attributed this enrollment increase to mid-career time and place constrained students (who would not have enrolled) were it not for the online option (Goodman et al., 2017).
Another study focuses on the way in which access can be increased by minimizing the challenges posed by time and place constraints. Fielding (2016) discusses a common misconception that distance learning creates universal access by the way in which some institutions promote distance education as “any time, and from any place” (Fielding, 2016, p.103). It is critical for institutions (in their marketing) and instructors (within course content) to dispel this myth of over-flexibility through the mobile autonomy of online classes (Fielding, 2016). Instead, Fielding (2016) advocates for a realistic presentation of the way in which online courses are typically structured (i.e. modular assignments with time constraints) and not self-directed learning (where assignments can be completed at any time) because this may conflict with the time-constrained realities of family and work commitments. Garcia and Cuello (2010) identified the ways in which virtual education is a way to redistribute knowledge for marginalized populations such as women with families and students with disabilities. Not surprisingly, the authors also explained the ways in which virtual education minimizes geographical barriers (i.e. living in rural areas) which limits access to higher education due to infrastructural limitations in rural areas. Instructors can minimize this challenge by adopting Ellen Rooney’s ‘semiprivate’ teaching methodology and incorporate alternative technology such as social media as a tool for completing assignments and expand access to the course (from a singular location i.e., blackboard, canvas) to both private and public spaces (Fielding, 2016). Each of the studies noted valid ways in which access was expanded through distance learning; however, the proposed solutions to address time and place challenges fail to consider student’s epistemic access which may affect student success (i.e., completion and retention).

Cost:

Casement (2013) shares his optimism about the different ways in which distance education may make higher education more affordable. For example, online students eliminate the costs of traveling to take courses on campus (i.e., gasoline, parking permits) and instead of paying for room and board, students can live at home saving approximately $6,000 per year (Casement, 2013). Unfortunately, the current tuition and student fees for online courses is similar to or exceeds the cost of traditional on-campus courses (Casement, 2013).

Technology and the Digital Divide:

It is not surprising, numerous academics speculate that technology increases access due to the flexibility of distance education (likely because students are able to enroll in courses while still working and caring for others) (Allen et al., 2016; Conole, 2011, Ferrer-Cascales, Walker, Reig-Ferrer, Fernandez-Pascual, & Albaladejo-Blazquez, 2011; Garcia & Cuello, 2010; Gaskill & Mills, 2014; Goodman, Melkers, & Pallais, 2017; Kinghorn, 2014; Rye & Zubaidah, 2008). This technological flexibility is not without challenges. For example, digital divide perpetuates educational inequalities for students of lower socio-economic backgrounds (Kinghorn, 2014). The digital divide challenges could be overcome with pedagogical strategies such as virtual collaboration (Kinghorn, 2014). The issue of education inequalities, is more complicated challenge because increasing human capital for students from privileged backgrounds with higher levels of computer self-efficacy and increase the divide and education inequalities for students of lower socio-economic backgrounds with lower computer self-efficacy (Kinghorn, 2014). Unfortunately, there is a gap in current literature about pedagogical strategies for increasing epistemic access for undergraduate online statistics students. A topic that I elaborate on within the discussion section of this paper.

Even with advances in technology, a lack of access to the internet remains a challenge for students in rural areas. Another technologically focused study by Rye and Zubaidah (2008) discussed empirical results from interviews in an effort to identify problems that the students encountered with distance learning, such as lack of access to the internet. A similar notion was mentioned by Letseka and Pitsoe (2014) who reported distance learning challenges such as limited access to the internet, limited access to computers, and lack of technical student support. In a recent study conducted by Guravaiah (2017), reported that technology dramatically impacts how individuals can compete to earn a living in the global economy. Again, this topic leads us back to the issue of epistemic access. If the true goal of higher education institutions is to improve access to knowledge to increase student’s employability, it is critical that future educational research focus on data-driven innovations in infrastructural and instructional strategies to increase epistemic access to technology.

Student Satisfaction and Retention:

An abundance of research exists on the relationship between the variables student satisfaction and retention. This discussion focuses on current research (Ferrandino, 2016; Gundlach, Richards, Andrew, Nelson, & Levesque-Bristol, 2015, Levy, 2013, Lorenzo, 2012, Mannay & Wilcock, 2015; Thomas, Herbert, & Teras, 2014). A logical point to begin
this discussion is with Lorenzo’s (2012) review of literature. This comprehensive review omitted studies with small samples and structured the review around seven factors for student satisfaction (instructor attitude, instructional factors, collaborative learning, communication, and course design) and a section on retention rates (Lorenzo, 2012). Many of the findings were unsurprising. For example, enthusiastic instructors with positive attitudes motivated online learners whereas instructors who were unengaged dissatisfied students; students reported being more satisfied with learning activities that applied to personal situations (i.e. real life); and providing frequent and timely feedback was associated with higher student satisfaction.

Otte (2007) examined CUNY’s online baccalaureate program, and reported that their program increased access by re-opening opportunities for students who had previously stopped attending college. According to Otte (2007) students had different motives for taking online classes which included curiosity, convenience, and need. A sense of belonging was reported as a critical factor in retention (Mannay & Wilcock, 2015; Thomas, Herbert, & Teras, 2014). Pedagogical tools such as online discussion forums can create a sense of belonging through social networking and thus reducing the feelings of social isolation (Mannay & Wilcock, 2015). Similarly, fostering student connections not only improves the online learning experience, but also retention (Thomas et al., 2014). Davies, Morys-Carter, and Paltoglou (2015) reported findings on ways to meet the challenges of improved student learning and engagement such with innovative pedagogies such as developing online resources to address the gap in the varying levels of statistical abilities and needs. While all of the articles provided valuable information, Mannay and Wilcock’s (2015) article was the only one to address the role of the educational institution and stressed the importance of initiatives that support student success in online classes. Efforts to widen access to higher education are useless without clear strategies to facilitate epistemic access that lead to academic success (i.e. course and program completion). Otherwise, institutions are only increasing access to enrollment and student’s wallets.

Statistics Anxiety:

In the three years of teaching undergraduate social statistics (face-to-face and online), I have yet to have a single student not report some-level of statistics anxiety during the beginning of the semester. Dykeman (2011) reported higher levels of academic anxiety and lower levels of self-efficacy among students enrolled in statistics courses compared to other college courses. Reducing statistics anxiety is important for the obvious reason that students with high-anxiety typically struggle in class, but also because a number of departments require successful completion of an introductory statistics course for graduation (Chew & Dillon, 2014). Ferrandino’s (2016) pedagogical philosophy for course design which incorporates failure as a strategy to improve learning, reduce statistics anxiety, student achievement, and student completion is brilliant. The researcher used t-tests to examine the effect of allowing his students to have unlimited test and quiz opportunities and found that failure was productive because the rate of D’s, F’s, and withdraws reduced from DFW 25.6% to 14.6%. (Ferrandino, 2016). I agree with Ferrandino (2016) report that the challenges of statistics anxiety, student satisfaction and retention are inter-related and require innovative approaches to support academic success.

Assessing Student Learning in Distance Statistics Courses:

Ferrer-Cascales et al. (2011) administered an assessment tool to measure the psychosocial learning environment for distance students using the following six categories: “instructor support, student-to-student interaction, relevance, authentic learning, active learning, and autonomy” (Ferrer-Cascales et al., 2011, p. 1104). The findings have valuable pedagogical implications for course designers and instructors as resources to facilitate student success (Ferrer-Cascales et al., 2011). Gaskell and Mills (2014) note that in addition to support services, educational institutions should assess and provide distance teaching resources as well.

Signor and Moore (2014) case study at the Swinburne University of Technology revealed that the no entry requirements facilitated access for the diverse students enrolled in distance learning. Another interesting study comparing the gender online students found higher that final course grades were related to time spent logged into the online course (Guru-Gharana & Flanagan, 2012). Aragon and Wickramasinghe (2016) also used time as an assessment variable, when they measured the time spent watching instructor-made videos along with time spent competing assignments to predict student success. Ried’s (2010) quasi-experimental study of four groups of distance learners measured relationship of time spent streaming mp3 and mp4 video simulations and found that they were an effective pedagogical tool. Each of these studies used different methods to empirically assess pedagogical strategies or tools with distance learners. The value in these studies is not just in the findings for each tool but also they provide a base-line for future research.
Instructional Design:

Ferrandino’s (2016) ground breaking study was briefly mentioned earlier in this paper when discussing the challenges of statistics anxiety and student retention. This research is also important when discussing instructional design because the redesign resulted in a significant reduction in the number of students who did not successfully complete the class (from 25.6% to 14.6%) whereby supporting the “unlimited opportunity to achieve” strategy (Ferrandino, 2016, p. 6). Additionally, this technique improved the percentage of students attempting to learn the material (i.e., complete assignments) from 2,193 total attempts, meaning 21.2% (of student missed assignments in the originally designed course) to a significant increase of attempts with the newly designed course to 9,477 attempts (Ferrandino, 2016). In other words, the course change resulted in a higher level of participation by students who choose to take advantage of the new course structure of unlimited opportunities. Ferrandino (2016) drew our attention to the fact that not only did the retention rate in his class significantly improve because more students were completing the online statistics course, but also that in the new structure more students participated in the process of actively learning statistics. Gazioglu (2012) described in detail her process for designing an undergraduate online introduction to statistics course including: creating course objectives, structuring the course with weekly modules, the types of assessments such as homework, quizzes, and exams, how to facilitate active learning with discussion boards, and the grading policy. Despite the lack of empirical rigor, the article may be beneficial for new instructors who need a model to build their online course.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Unexpected Finding: A Gap in Sociological Literature:

One of the main objectives for this article was to examine multi-disciplinary literature in an effort to add to the discourse on expanding access to education through online classes across multiple disciplines. As a sociologist, I was disappointed to find a lack of current, data-driven, sociological research, which focused expanding access to undergraduate-level statistics education for several reasons. The first, is that for many sociology departments, successful completion of an introductory social statistics course is typically required for graduation. Most importantly, of all disciplines, we specialize in the study of social stratification and inequalities (such as educational inequalities). I am certainly not suggesting that there were no sociological articles published about online statistical education at the post-secondary level. I found a few that were too old for this review, several that focused on face-to-face course delivery, and others whose focus was online graduate education. The trend in higher education is to increase equity for marginalized populations by expanding access for the underrepresented students by delivering courses online. A future researcher might want also to consider critical analysis of the implications of educational mobility and epistemic access in higher education using the works Morrow (2009), Game de (2005), Robertson and Hill (2001) or the works of Slonimsky and Shalem (2006), Moll (2004), or Jansen (2008), as conceptual frameworks to inform curricular or pedagogical research aimed at facilitating epistemic access to increase statistical literacy.

A gap was also identified in the literature for current empirically based that seek to build critical insights into mobility and epistemic access for online statistics education courses. While many of the articles reviewed for this paper mentioned importance of accessing higher education access or how online education enhanced access none defined what they meant by the term. The articles seem to elude to term access to referring to some type of formal access (i.e. admission to a university or ability to enroll in a course) only two or three mentioned epistemic-type access (i.e., successfully accessing the powerful knowledge within the context of the statistics course). Future online statistical education research should not only focus on the conceptualization of the term access but also on the relationship between pedagogical/curricular strategies and epistemic access to explore how those variables effect student outcomes (i.e., completion rates, success rates, student satisfaction).

Practical Applications for Instructors:

The following outlines instructional interventions to lessen the impact of and/or alleviate potential barriers to facilitate access to educational opportunities online. (a) Thapliyal’s (2014) students reported feeling anxious about the number of course objectives that they would be able to achieve by the end of the class. Thus, Thapliyal (2014) suggested that instructors should include how the student learning objectives were going to be measured or achieved by the different learning activities, then perhaps it would provide a better blueprint for students to follow. (b) Instructors should provide
students with a list of student support services that are available to them and where and how to access them (Thapliyal 2014). (c) Online statistics instructors should encourage students to increase their online participation which is predicted to raise their final score (Guru-Gharana and Flanagan 2012). (d) Instructors should make video lessons for their courses and advices students that research indicates that students who watch videos are more successful in distance statistics classes than students who do not view the videos (Aragon and Wickramasinghe 2016). (e) Ferrandino’s (2016) results support allowing unlimited attempts on quizzes and tests to promote failure as an opportunity for students to more actively engage with the course content.

V. CONCLUSION

This review of the current teaching and learning scholarship is a helpful resource for statistics educators and researchers by adding new insights into the topic of teaching and learning statistics online. The article also contributes to the body of knowledge on this topic by articulating and discussing the teaching and learning challenges and offering practical interventions.
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