Comparative Studies of Real Time PCR and Larva Detection for Diagnosis Strongylosis in Horses
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Abstract: Strongylus vulgaris common parasite in equine during the past 50 years due to the practice of frequent prophylactic anthelmintic therapy. To date, the emerging development of resistance in Cyathostominae and Parascaris spp. to numerous equine anthelmintic has changed deworming management and the frequency of anthelmintic usage. In this regard, reliable detection of parasitic infections, especially of the highly pathogenic S. vulgaris is essential. In the current study, two diagnostic methods for the detection of infections with S. vulgaris were compared and information on the occurrence of this parasite in American horses was gained. For this purpose, faecal samples of 110 horses were screened for S. vulgaris with real-time PCR and an additional Regular microscopic exam. A subset of 26 horses underwent multiple follow-up examinations with both methods to evaluate both the persistence of S. vulgaris infections and the reproducibility of each diagnostic method.

Keywords: Strongylosis, horses, Strongylis vulgaris, larva, fecal samples, PCR.

1. INTRODUCTION

Strongylosis has been reported from all parts of world and almost affects more than 90 % of horse population (Nielsen et al 2006). The small strongyles, also called cyathostomins, are among the most important intestinal nematodes of horses (Lyons et al., 1999) with almost 100% of horses infected with at least some species of small strongyles (Reinemeyer et al., 1984). Among the gastro-intestinal nematodes of horses, large strongyle infections were diagnosed with an infection rate of 58.5% (Saeed et al., 2010). S. vulgaris has long been considered as one of the most common and pathogenic parasites of the horse (Claire and Masterson., 1987; Krecek et al., 1987; Tolliver et al., 1987; Peter and Waller., 1997; Gasser et al., 2004; Hubert et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2007; Toscan et al., 2012). It is estimated that 45 to 90 per cent of horses harbor S. vulgaris (McCraw and Slocombe, 1976; Jubb et al., 1985). The prevalence of infection is high, and a few equines are likely to escape out of this disease by the end of their first year of life (Ogbourne and Duncan, 1985). Infestation with strongyles is complex and produces an inflammatory enteropathy which results in impaired intestinal motility and microcirculation (Love et al., 1999; Bechera et al., 2010; Neils et al., 2011; Pilo et al 2012). Clinically, infection with small strongyles can cause mild disease symptoms such as weight loss, loss of appetite, poor hair coat, intermittent diarrhea, lethargy, deterioration of condition, peripheral edema and disordered intestinal motility (McCraw and Slocombe, 1976; Love and Duncan, 1992; Love et al., 1992; Matthews and Morris, 1995). After ingestion, larvae travel through the digestive system to the large intestine, S. vulgaris migrate to the anterior mesenteric artery, S. endentatus to the liver / flank area and S. equinus migrate to the liver and pancreas ( Owend and Slocombe., 1985; Monhan et al., 1995; Kuzmina et al 2012). Adult large strongyles live in the cecum and large intestine. Fourth (L4) and fifth (L5) stage larvae are responsible for arteritis, necrosis, and fibrosis of the cranial mesenteric artery and its branches (Patton and Drudge, 1977; Duncan and Pirie, 1985; Kuzmina et al., 2012). The occurrence of larvae in the cranial mesenteric artery was apparently known to the ancient Romans (McCraw and Slocombe 1974).
Verminous arteritis in the cranial mesenteric artery and its branches has been reported as the cause of death in 10 to 33% of abdominal crises in the horse (Meads, 1969; Proctor, 1966) and in a few case studies of natural infections (Foster and Clark, 1937; Ottaway and Bingham, 1945; Deorani, 1966). Severe colic and death of horses is the consequence of thrombosis and embolism leading to infarction of the intestinal tract (Marinkovic et al., 2009). Many animals are not picked up early enough to interrupt the larval migration and are only diagnosed by faecal culture techniques indicating the presence of fertile adults (Morgan et al., 1994). Determining the number of strongyle eggs per gram of faeces (EPG) has been the most widely used method for diagnosing infection with adult strongyles (Herd, 1995; Mathew and Morris, 1995; Kaplan, 2010; Mahmood and Ashraf, 2010). Molecular approaches have been developed that enable species identification of pre-adult stages of strongyle nematodes (Gasser et al., 2004; Matthews et al., 2004). Routine treatment for the removal of adult worms is essential as the large egg output of strongyles can cause contamination of a restricted area very rapidly. Thiabendazole has been widely used and recently several other anthelmintics have been developed and approved for use in adult horses, including benzimidazole compounds (Drudge et al., 1975). The under dosing is the major cause of anthelmintic resistance (Toscan et al., 2012). Pasture management is considered a reliable control measure. The emergence of anthelmintic resistance demands review of old studies.

2. MATERIALS & METHODS

Faecal samples:

In total, 110 equine faecal samples from Horse admitted to veterinary Teaching hospital were collected from March 2013 to May 2014. All samples were obtained from the Diagnostic Centre of the Chair of Comparative Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, Rochester, USA. Every sample was analyzed using a modified McMaster method with a detection limit of 20 eggs per gram faeces (EPG) and the combined-sedimentation-flotation method [17]. Samples with a minimum of 20 EPG were selected.

Assuming a USA horse population of 1.1 million [38] with a prevalence for an infection with S. vulgaris of 1% [3, 10, 19–21], a minimum of 110 horses had to be investigated since in this case the probability to detect at least one infected horse was above 99% [39]. Thus, samples of 89 of 110 horses (horse level, 34.4%) were investigated by real-time PCR for an infection with S. vulgaris in the present study. For 57 of the 110 horses, a sufficient amount of faecal material was available for the preparation of a larval culture in order to differentiate the larvae.

In 26 of the 110 investigated horses, follow-up examinations were carried out 4–6 months after the initial examination. In this context, a total of 43 samples of the 26 horses from six different farms were analysed by real-time PCR and larval culture. Follow-up examinations were performed if either the real-time PCR-result was positive for S. vulgaris (Table 1) or non-determinable larvae were present in the first larval culture examination. In the case of two horses which were S. vulgaris positive in the first examination, the whole horse group was tested also for S. vulgaris. With these examinations, the dissemination of S. vulgaris should be verified at horses living on the same holding.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates of initial and follow-up examinations of four real-time PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horse 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/03/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/09/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dates of initial and follow-up examinations of four real-time PCR-positive horses. None of the horses that underwent a follow-up examination had been dewormed until the date of the retest. Thus, a total of 89 samples were analyzed by real-time PCR and a total of 57 samples were analyzed by larval culture.

The total data pool was separated into two data sets for the different analyses either on sample or on horse level. Furthermore, prevalence analyses were performed on horse and on farm level. As soon as one horse was tested *S. vulgaris*-positive, its farm of origin was classified as positive on the farm level.

As far as possible, information was collected on every investigated horse, namely age, breed, gender and the date of the last anthelmintic treatment.

**Egg detection, concentration and isolation:**

Gastrointestinal strongyle eggs were isolated from faecal samples using a combined-sedimentation-flotation technique [17]. At first, 40 g of faeces were mixed with 500 ml of water, then filtered through a 300 μm sieve and sedimented at 10 °C for 12 h. The sediment was divided and transferred into two centrifuge tubes – one with saturated sugar solution (specific weight/solution density 1.27 +/- 0.01) and one with saturated zinc sulphate solution (ZnSO₄, specific weight/solution density 1.28 +/- 0.01; Carl Roth GmbH and Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) and centrifuged for 5 min at 635 g.

In case of a positive microscopic diagnosis of strongyle eggs, the egg counts were classified as sporadic (0–300 eggs), numerous (301–3000) or plentiful (>3000).

The eggs were rinsed from the cover slip with distilled water, poured into a beaker and into a centrifuge tube (conical shape) which was then centrifuged at 635 g for 10 min. The remaining liquid was withdrawn by suction. The remaining sediment was transferred into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and then centrifuged one more time at 10,621 g for 3 min. The remaining liquid was withdrawn by suction and the sediment was frozen in a dry state at −20 °C.

**Larval identification:**

Larval cultures modified after Roberts and O’Sullivan [40] were carried out for the morphological determination of *S. vulgaris* larvae. Ten grams of faeces were incubated at room temperature for 14 days. During these 14 days, the samples were ventilated every day for 1 h and moistened to avoid desiccation of the faeces. The Baermann-Wetzel technique was used to isolate the L3 [17].

After the migration time of 24 h at room temperature, 10 ml of the liquid was drawn off from the Baermann funnel. For concentration of the larvae, the liquid was stored in a centrifuge tube at 10 °C for another 24 h. Subsequently, the supernatant was withdrawn by suction and 2 ml were left in the tube. All larvae contained in the sediment were counted (L/10 g = larvae per 10 g faces) and morphologically determined via microscope. For immobilisation of the larvae, one drop of Lugol’s iodine was added to one drop of the larval suspension and investigated immediately. The morphological criteria were determined according to Bürger et al. [41] and Boch et al. [42].

**DNA extraction from isolated eggs:**

The extraction was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instruction (QIAamp® DNA Mini and Blood Mini Handbook) according to the blood or body fluid spin protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, USA). Briefly, before extraction the sediment containing the strongyle eggs was incubated in an ultrasonic bath with 150 μl PBS (phosphate buffered saline) for 4 min followed by a freezing step for 20 min at −20 °C and a repetition of the incubation step. The DNA was eluted with 200 μl elution buffer. DNA concentration (ng/μl) and quality of each sample were analyzed with the Nano-Drop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (PeqLab, Erlangen, Germany). The samples were stored at −20 °C until used for PCR analysis.

**Molecular analyses:**

**Real-time PCR:**

Samples were screened for *S. vulgaris* by real-time PCR using the AB-7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, USA). PCR targeted rDNA sequences of the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS-2; 169 bp) of Cyathostominae and *Strongylus* spp. nematodes. The forward primer Sv-f (5′-GTATACATTAAATAGTGTCCTCCC
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ATTCTAG-3’), the reverse primer Sv-r (5’-GCAATATCATTAGTTCTTTCCG-3’) and the modified probe Sv-p 5’-FAM-TGGATTATCTCAGTTAATTTGCGAC-BHQ1-3’ were used as previously described [30]. The 25 μl reaction volume consisted of 5 μl of template DNA, 2.25 μl (0.9 μM) of each primer (10 μM), 0.5 μl of the probe (0.2 μM) and 15 μl TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Life technologies GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany). A negative and a positive control were added to each PCR reaction. The positive control (DNA extracted from adult worms which were morphologically identified as S. vulgaris) was provided by the M. H. Gluck Equine Research Center, University of Kentucky, USA. The following PCR protocol was used: an initial activation at 95 °C for 10 min followed by a set of 40 cycles, each consisting of 15 s at 95 °C and 60 s at 60 °C (AB Systems Standard protocol, Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany).

To verify the efficiency and the relative sensitivity of the real-time PCR, a standard curve was created according to the AB Systems manufacturer’s instruction (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) with ten fold dilution steps of the positive control (threelfold preparation). An analysis was performed up to a dilution step of 1:100,000.

Results were recorded as the mean PCR cycle number at which the fluorescence detection threshold had been exceeded (Ct). The threshold line was set at the optimal point in the linear phase of the amplification plot. The observation of an exponential rise up to a Ct of 37.5 was counted as positive according to the results of the standard curve.

Conventional PCR and Sequencing:

In order to verify the results of the real-time PCR, an additional conventional PCR with subsequent sequencing of the amplification products was performed with real-time PCR-positive samples using the same primers (100 μM) (Eppendorf Mastercycler MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany).

The reaction mix contained 5 μl of template DNA, 5 μl (1x) of buffer 10x, 1 μl (200 μM) of dNTPS, 0.5 μl (1 μM) of each primer (100 μM), 0.25 μl (1.25 U) of HotStar Taq DNA Polymerase Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and water-ultra pure grade filled up to a total volume of 50 μl. The following protocol was used: 5 min at 95 °C for one cycle, 95 °C for 30 s, 49 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 40 s for 45 cycles, followed by 72 °C for 5 min.

PCR products of the conventional PCR were analysed in 2% agarose gel stained with Gel Red™ nucleic acid stain, 10,000 x in water (both from Biotium, Hayward, USA). The DNA Gene ruler 100 bp Plus DNA ladder was used for sizing and quantification of the PCR products. The visualisation of gel images was performed with a gel documentation system (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany).

The positive PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Forward and reverse sequencing was performed by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). Reverse sequences were reversed, complemented, and aligned to the forward sequences using online tools (Reverse Complement: http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms/rev_comp.html, Clustal Omega: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo). Database searches and sequence comparisons were done with BLAST provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (BLAST: http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM® SPSS® software version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, USA) and Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Seattle, USA). Since our data did not satisfy normality assumptions, classical non-parametric methods were used. The correlation between EPG and L/10 g faeces was calculated using a Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. In order to determine the statistical difference of the capability of the real-time PCR and the larval culture to detect a S. vulgaris positive sample, a McNemar test was performed for comparison of paired proportions and Kappa values were calculated for an evaluation of agreement between the two tests. All statistical analyses were interpreted as statistically significant up to the p-value < 0.05.

4. RESULTS

Sample origin:

Out of the 110 samples, strongyle faecal egg counts (FECs) of 84 samples (55.3%) were positive ranging from <20 to 11,080 EPG. An EPG of >200 was recorded in 38 samples (23.9%).
Of the 45 faecal egg count positive samples, 110 samples (20–11,080 EPG; mean: 482 EPG; median: 200 EPG) from 51 horses were randomly selected for further investigation with real-time PCR. The number of investigated horses originating from different farms. The FEC of the real-time PCR S. vulgaris-positive samples, ranged from 20 to 1720 EPG. The FEC of one sample could not be determined due to the low amount of faecal material available. However, it was included in the study due to the numerous strongyle eggs detected in the combined-sedimentation-flotation.

Of those 57 animals, the age was known for 74 and the gender was known for 95 horses.

The age of the horses ranged from 1 to 35 years with an average age of 12 years. Samples originating from 17 mares (40.9%), 46 geldings (57.9%) and five stallions (1.2%) were examined. On average for 98 horses, the last anthelmintic treatment had been performed 11 months (range 2–196 months) prior to enrolment into the present study.

**Larval culture:**

Larval culture were performed and evaluated from 57 faecal samples originating from 110 horses (including follow-up examinations). A total of 451,832 Cyathostominae larvae (L3) were detected in 93.5% of the cultures (100.0% of the farm level). Between 1 and 31,251 larvae per 10 g faeces were counted. The EPG and the L/10 g faeces correlated with a Spearman’s rank correlation of 0.826 ($p = 0.000$).

A total of 11 S. vulgaris larvae were detected in altogether four samples (sample level).

Regarding the horse level, S. vulgaris was found in 3 of 78 horses (1.1%). S. vulgaris-positive horses originated from 3 out of 62 investigated farms (4.8%).

The occurrence of different larval stages in a total of 57 larval cultures was documented in detail. Strongylinae larvae in first- (L1) and second-stage (L2) were found in 52 samples in a range of sporadic (max. 4 larvae/sample) and in four samples in a range of numerous (>15 larvae). Additionally, empty sheaths of Strongylinae larvae were found in five of the 57 samples (max. 3/sample). Larvae of Triodontophorus sp. were found in nine samples, larvae of Trichostrongylus axei in three samples and larvae of free-living nematodes were present in 15 samples.

**Real-time PCR:**

The real-time PCR was positive in 13 of 89 investigated samples (14.6%; Table 2).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample-No.</th>
<th>FEC</th>
<th>Combined-sedimentation-flotation</th>
<th>Larval culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>1256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarized results of real-time PCR-positive samples (FEC, combined-sedimentation-flotation, larval culture** and C<sub>r</sub>-value)
With regard to the horse level of 110 horses, the occurrence of *S. vulgaris* obtained by real-time PCR was 1.9% (57/110). *S. vulgaris*-positive horses originated from 10 of 1 investigated farms (10.9%). On each farm only one horse was tested positive for *S. vulgaris*.

The standard curve revealed an efficiency of 90.5% (slope -3.571; $R^2$ 0.996). A positive analysis was performed with a dilution of up to 1:10,000 (y-Inter 37.428) of the positive control in order to estimate the relative sensitivity. Due to an unknown amount of DNA in the positive control, no reference value was available and it was not possible to analyse a quantitative potential of the real-time PCR. There was no statistically significant correlation between the number of *S. vulgaris* larvae within one sample and the corresponding $C_T$-value since the total amount of samples was too small. One PCR-positive sample containing a single larva of *S. vulgaris* had a $C_T$-value of 34.97, which is higher compared to the $C_T$-values of 24.94 to 29.87 obtained by the other three PCR-positive samples containing three or four larvae in the culture.

**Follow-up examinations:**

Four initially *S. vulgaris*-positive horses were retested in follow-up examinations. The follow-up samples of two horses tested positive on a daily basis. Horse 1 (Table 1) was tested positive in the single follow-up examination (Table 2) which was performed 4 months after the initial examination. The follow-up examination of horse 3 (Table 1) was carried out 6 months after the initial examination. In this context faecal samples were taken and analysed for *S. vulgaris* on 11 consecutive days. In addition to the initial investigation, *S. vulgaris* was also detected in the faecal samples collected on day 1 and 4 (Table 2). The other follow-up examinations of all horses that were retested were negative for *S. vulgaris*. However, the low number of follow-up examined horses in this study did not allow for a statistical analysis.

**Comparison of real-time PCR and larval culture:**

A direct comparison between real-time PCR and larval culture could be drawn for 321 samples of the sample level. The results of the latter two methods differed significantly according to the McNemar’s test (0.016) (Table 3). This is in line with Cohen’s kappa which shows a value of 0.525 indicating only moderate agreement.

| Table 3 |
| Comparison of results of larval culture and real-time PCR |

Comparison of results of larval culture and real-time PCR

**Conventional PCR and Sequencing:**

Interpretable sequencing results were obtained from all 13 isolates. Two of them were 100% homologous with a sequence of *S. vulgaris* from a horse from Australia (GenBank accession no. X77863.1) [33]. Eleven sequences showed a 99% similarity to the latter sequences containing a single nucleotide substitution (C instead of G at bp 61).

Sequences determined at the ITS-2 region in this work were deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: KT250609-KT250621.
5. DISCUSSION

*S. vulgaris* was detected in three of 78 investigated horses (1.1%) by larval culture and in 10 of 110 investigated horses (1.9%) by real-time PCR. This low occurrence of *S. vulgaris* is in line with previously conducted studies investigating faecal samples from USA horses obtained by larval culture with a prevalence ranging from 0.2 to 1.3% [10, 19–21]. A comparable prevalence has also been reported in Switzerland [3].

In contrast, studies from other countries revealed a higher prevalence for *S. vulgaris* both by larval culture and by PCR. In a study from Denmark, Nielsen et al. [36] screened 6 horses from 2 farms via larval culture and real-time PCR with a result of 11 *S. vulgaris*-positive horses (17.5%). In an investigation of horses from Poland by necropsy, nematodes were isolated from the intestine and differentiated revealing a prevalence of 22.8% (16/95) for *S. vulgaris* [43]. An even higher prevalence of 41.3% (19/46) was detected in horses from Europe, via larval culture [44]. In comparison with data from USA Bracken et al. [45] found a higher prevalence of infection with *S. vulgaris* in Danish horses not only on the horse level with 13.6% (45/71) but also on the farm level with 72.2% (13/18). A comparable result on the farm level was reported by Nielsen et al. with a prevalence of 64.3% (27/42) determined by larval culture [18].

In the present study, *S. vulgaris* was detected in ten out of 91 investigated farms (10.9%). A low occurrence of *S. vulgaris* in farms from USA was further demonstrated by the fact that both the real time PCR and the larval culture revealed a single *S. vulgaris*-positive horse per farm, solely. These findings are in agreement with another recent USA prevalence survey, which reported a farm level of 1.04% (2/192) and detected only a single *S. vulgaris* positive horse per farm using larval culture [21].

The intensive anthelmintic treatment regime of the last decades as well as the long prepatent period of six to 7 months might be responsible for the current low occurrence of *S. vulgaris* in USA [3, 46, 47]. Based on these arguments, a low occurrence for *S. vulgaris* under 5% was reported by Hertzberg et al. [3] for horses living in Europe. Despite the intensive anthelmintic treatment regime of the last decades, the occurrence of *S. vulgaris* still persists on a low level. A possible reason for this persistence could be a non-complete larvicidal efficacy of ivermectin, as suggested by Nielsen et al. due to his study results in 2014 [48].

Different surveys have pointed out that the number of Cyathostominae larvae in larval cultures is higher compared to the number of *S. vulgaris* larvae [21, 23, 29, 49]. For example, Ogbourne and Duncan [23] refer to a wide variety of Strongyle species in equine faecal samples comprising larvae of *S. vulgaris* in less than 10%. Bellaw and Nielsen [29] reported that approximately 1.0% of detected larvae were L3 of *S. vulgaris* (86 *S. vulgaris* vs.,72 Cyathostominae larvae). In the present study, 0.16% of all larvae in 13 *S. vulgaris*-positive samples were L3 of *S. vulgaris* (11 *S. vulgaris* larvae vs. 67 Cyathostominae larvae). Both the marginal number of counted *S. vulgaris*-larvae in the larval culture and the finding of only one *S. vulgaris*-positive horse per farm might be explained by a low infection rate and by a low shedding of *S. vulgaris* eggs of infected horses.

Accordingly, false negative results might occur in samples comprising a low number of *S. vulgaris* eggs. Thus, the dependence on the presence of eggs in the investigated fecal sample is the major disadvantage of the diagnosis of *S. vulgaris* with coprological methods like real-time PCR and larval culture [31, 32, 50]. Additionally, the relatively long prepatent period as well as the dependence of the development of the infectious L3 on environmental conditions is responsible for the seasonality of *S. vulgaris* which may lead to false negative results at certain times of the year [23, 51]. Various studies were able to prove a seasonal fluctuation of egg shedding of *S. vulgaris*, with a peak in summer and a depression in winter [52–55]. Yet, the study at hand was not able to confirm such an influence of seasonality on the detection of *S. vulgaris*-eggs due to a non-seasonal collection of samples and a low number of positive samples. However, the present study revealed a significantly improved detection rate of *S. vulgaris* by real-time PCR in comparison to the current standard larval culture method.

A molecular method for the detection of *Strongylus* spp. was first described by Campbell et al., in 1995. The specificity of the conventional PCR investigating the ITS-2 gene of *S. vulgaris* has already been analysed by detecting interspecific variations in the sequence of the ITS-2 gene between *S. vulgaris*, *S. edentatus* and *S. equinus* via conventional PCR and subsequent sequencing [33]. The specificity of the real-time PCR was confirmed by Nielsen et al. [30] via cross reaction testing between *S. vulgaris*, *S. edentatus*, *S. equinus* and a mixture of different Cyathostominae larvae. Therefore, a
possible cross reaction with DNA of other equine strongylid nematodes was not expected. Besides the specificity, the sensitivity of the real-time PCR has also been analyzed by Nielsen et al. resulting in a detection limit of a 0.5 strongyle egg-equivalent [30]. Due to the developmental period of up to 14 days for strongyle larvae, the larval culture is a time-consuming [29] though cost-efficient method for the detection of S. vulgaris. A sensitivity of 73% and a specificity of 84% determined by larval culture compared to necropsy data have been reported by Nielsen et al. [28]. However, this method is also dependent on the presence of S. vulgaris eggs in the investigated samples just like the real-time PCR. Furthermore, the larval culture has the additional disadvantage that false negative results might occur due to an inhibited development of L3 which might be caused by fluctuations of temperature, humidity, fungal growth and contamination with free-living nematodes. In the present study, the successful cultivation of Cyathostominae larvae was verified by the positive correlation between the "number of Cyathostominae larvae" and the "FEC" of the faecal sample. Cyathostominae larvae were successfully cultivated in 98% of the strongylid egg-positive samples. A possible explanation for an inhibited development of larvae might be an accidental partial freezing of samples during transportation which was reported for 12 FEC-positive samples which revealed only a limited number of larvae in the larval culture. The negative impact of low temperatures on the development of strongyle larvae has already been described by Ogbourne and Duncan [23], Hasslinger [56] and Enigk [51]. Furthermore, steps of the procedure following cultivation such as discharging of supernatant, purification, sedimentation and pipetting might also lead to a loss of larvae. The morphological differentiation of larvae via microscopic examination needs to be conducted by qualified and experienced personnel, since the detection of S. vulgaris larvae is difficult and time-consuming [28]. False negative results due to the latter aspects might easily occur especially since S. vulgaris-positive samples often comprise only a limited number of S. vulgaris larvae. The usage of an aliquot procedure with the design to investigate 100–200 larvae in order to save time might also contribute to false negative results.

With the intent to avoid this error, all larvae per 10 g faeces were identified in the present study.

In order to maintain or even reduce the low occurrence of S. vulgaris in Germany and to prevent the introduction of S. vulgaris within and among farms, an appropriate optimisation of the diagnostic and management procedures is crucial [3]. Application of real-time PCR for detection of S. vulgaris as a routine method is possible in any laboratory with appropriate equipment for the real-time PCR-procedure [45]. Strongyle eggs recovered by the standard combined sedimentation/flotation method can directly be used for the DNA-extraction and subsequent real-time PCR. In the present study, a FEC of 20 EPG was sufficient for the detection of S. vulgaris by real-time PCR.

Since the follow-up examinations in this study revealed that not every single examination reproduce an initially S. vulgaris-positive result, the investigation of composite faecal samples per horse by real-time PCR for an adequate detection of S. vulgaris might be useful in the daily routine diagnostics. Moreover, all horses of a herd or at least “high-risk patients” like newcomers and horses with unknown deworming history should be examined individually [3]. Preliminary to an integration into a new farm, thorough examination for S. vulgaris is also essential for horses originating from countries with a high prevalence for S. vulgaris like Denmark, a part of Italy and Poland [36, 43, 44].

6. CONCLUSION

According to the results of the present study, the detection of patent infections with S. vulgaris via real-time PCR reveals a significantly improved detection rate improvement compared to the current standard method based on larval culture and subsequent morphological differentiation. Thus, the real-time PCR might be a reliable option for the detection of S. vulgaris in equine fecal samples in the routine diagnostic and in the integrated equine parasite control.
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