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1. PRELIMINARIES AND INTRODUCTION

In this section we begin by some properties of Fourier transform. Let $p$ be any real number, $p \geq 1$, and $L_p (-\infty, +\infty)$ the vector space of all complex valued functions $f(x)$ of all real variable $x$, $-\infty < x < + \infty$, such that $f$ is lebesgue measurable, and

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \quad (1.1)$$

We call the number $\|f\|_p$ the $L_p$ -norm of $f$.

Now if $f(x) \in L_1 (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $\alpha$ any real number, we define the Fourier transform of $f$ by:

$$\hat{f}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{i\alpha x} dx. \quad (1.2)$$

And say that $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of $f \in L_1 (-\infty, +\infty).$ We write symbolical

$$\hat{f} = F[f] \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{f}(\nu) = F[f(x)].$$

In the special case when $f$ is even, $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all real values of $x$, (1.2) take the form

$$\hat{f}(\alpha) = 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} f(x) \cos \alpha x dx. \quad (1.3)$$

If $f$ is odd, $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all real values of $x$, (1.3) take the form
\(-i \hat{f}(\alpha) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \sin \alpha x \, dx \) \quad (1.4)

Now we give some basic properties of Fourier transform of functions in \(L_1(-\infty, +\infty)\) (see [1-8]).

A) If \(f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty)\), then \(\hat{f}\) is bounded on \((-\infty, +\infty)\), since for all real \(\alpha\), we have
\[
|\hat{f}(\alpha)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| \, dx = \|f\|_{L_1} < +\infty. \quad (1.5)
\]
where \(\|f\|_{L_1}\) denotes the \(L_1\)-norm of \(f\), so that
\[
\sup_{-\infty < \alpha < +\infty} |\hat{f}(\alpha)| \leq \|f\|_{L_1} < +\infty. \quad (1.6)
\]

B) If \(f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty)\), then \(\hat{f}(\alpha)\) is continuous on \((-\infty, +\infty)\), for if \(h\) is a real number, \(h \neq 0\), then
\[
|\hat{f}(\alpha + h) - \hat{f}(\alpha)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ f(x) e^{i(\alpha + h) x} - f(x) e^{i\alpha x} \right] \, dx \right|
\]
\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \left| e^{i\alpha x} (e^{ihx} - 1) \right| \, dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|f(x)\|_{L_1} e^{ihx-1} \, dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.7)
\]
and hence \(\hat{f}\) is continuous at point \(\alpha\). Where \(-\infty < \alpha < +\infty\).

c) The operator \(f \rightarrow \hat{f}\) is linear in the sense that
\[
(c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2)(\alpha) = c_1 \hat{f}_1(\alpha) + c_2 \hat{f}_2(\alpha)
\]
where \(c_1, c_2\) are complex numbers and \(f_1, f_2 \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty)\).

D) Let \(h\) be a fixed real number, and \(f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty)\). Then the Fourier transform of \(f(x+h)\), is the translation of \(f(x)\) by \(h\), equals \(\hat{f}(\alpha)e^{-i\alpha h}\).

E) Let \(t\) be a fixed real number and \(f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty)\). Then the Fourier transform of \(f(x)e^{itx}\) is \(\hat{f}(\alpha + t)\).

F) Let \(\lambda\) be a fixed real number, \(\lambda \neq 0\), and \(f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty)\). Then the Fourier transform of \(f(\lambda x)\) is
\[
\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \hat{f} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \right).
\]

G) If \(\overline{f}\) denotes the complex conjugate of \(f\) and \(f \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty)\), then the Fourier transform of \(\overline{f}(x)\) is \(\overline{\hat{f}}(-\alpha)\) since the complex conjugate of
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)e^{iax} \, dx \text{ equals } \hat{f}(-\alpha).
\]

**H)** If \( f \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty) \) and \( f_n \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty) \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), and

\[
\|f_n - f\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty,
\]

then we have

\[
\lim_{x \to +\infty} \hat{f}_n(\alpha) = \hat{f}(\alpha). \quad (1.8)
\]

uniformly for \(-\infty < \alpha < +\infty\). In effect, by (1.5) and (1.8), we have

\[
\sup_{-\infty < \alpha < +\infty} \left| \hat{f}_n(\alpha) - \hat{f}(\alpha) \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|.
\]

**J)** If \( f_1, f_2 \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty) \), then

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}_1(y) f_2(y) \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}_1(y) \hat{f}_2(y) \, dy. \quad (1.9)
\]

which is called composition rule.

**K)** Muckenhoupt posed in [10], the problem of characterizing those non-negatives functions \( u \) and \( v \), which for some \( p, 1 \leq p < \infty \), the inequality

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x) \right|^p u(x) \, dx \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x) \right|^p v(x) \, dx,
\]

holds for any \( f \), and in [11] deal only with the case where either \( u \equiv 1 \) or \( v \equiv 1 \), finding that when \( v \equiv 1 \), \( 1 < p < 2 \), a necessary condition is that for any \( r > 0 \)

\[
\left[ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int u(x) \, dx \right)^b \right]^\frac{1}{b} \leq cr^{p-1}
\]

where \( b = \frac{2}{2-p} \), and that a sufficient condition \( (v \equiv 1, 1 \leq p) \) is that for any measurable set \( E \), we have:

\[
\int_E u(x) \, dx \leq c |E|^{p-1}.
\]

Many authors studied this subject, for instance the reader should refer to [1-9].

**2. THE MAIN RESULTS**

In this section, we prove the main theorems

**Theorem 2.1:**

Let \( f(x) \in L_1(-\infty, x < +\infty) \) and \( x^m f(x) \in L_1(-\infty, x < +\infty) \), where \( m \) is a positive integer. Then \( \hat{f}(\alpha) \) is a continuously differentiable \( m \) times for \(-\infty < x < +\infty\), and we have

\[
\left( \hat{f} \right)^{(m)}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ (ix)^m f(x) \right] e^{iax} \, dx.
\]

So that
\[
\left| \left( \hat{f}' \right)^{(m)} (\alpha) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(ix)^{(m)} f(x)| \, dx.
\]

**Proof:**

We are going to use induction on \( m \), we assume that the result is true for all \( n \) such that \( 1 \leq n \leq m \).

Consider the function

\[
\hat{F}(\alpha) = \left( \hat{f}' \right)^{(n)} (\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(x) e^{ix\alpha} \, dx. \tag{2.1}
\]

And also

\[
F_h(x) = F(x) \left( e^{ihx} - 1 \right) / h
\]

for \( h \) real and \( h \neq 0 \). Then

\[
F_h(\alpha) = \frac{\hat{F}(\alpha+h) - \hat{F}(\alpha)}{h}. \tag{2.2}
\]

Now

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} F_h(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} F(x) \left( e^{ihx} - 1 \right) / h
\]

\[
= F(x) \lim_{h \to 0} \left( e^{ihx} - 1 \right) / h
\]

\[
= ixF(x). \tag{2.3}
\]

So \( F_h(x) \to ix F(x) \) point wisely, for almost every \( x \), as \( h \to 0 \) and

\[
\left| F_h(x) \right| = \left| F(x) \left( e^{ihx} - 1 \right) / h \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| F(x) \right| \left| e^{ihx} - 1 \right| / h. \tag{2.4}
\]

Note that

\[
\left| e^{ihx} - 1 \right| \leq |x|. \tag{2.5}
\]

Let \( M(t) = e^{ith} - 1 \). By applying Mean-Value theorem on \( M(t) \), \( \forall t \in [0,1] \), we have

\[
M'(t) = ihe^{ith} = \frac{e^{ith} - 1}{x}.
\]

Then

\[
\left| \frac{e^{ith} - 1}{x} \right| = \left| ixe^{ix} \right| \leq |x|.
\]

We drive from (2.4) and by hypothesis that

\[
\left| F_h(x) \right| \leq |x| \left| F(x) \right| \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty)
\]
Hence
\[ |F_h(x) - ixF(x)| \leq |F_h(x)| + |xF(x)| \]
\[ \leq 2|x||F(x)| \in L(-\infty, +\infty) \]

By Riemann-Lebesgue’s Theorem on dominated convergence, we have
\[ F_h(x) \to ixF(x) \text{ in the } L_1 \text{- norm, that is} \]
\[ \|F_h - ixF\| \to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0. \]

By property (g) we get
\[ \hat{F}_h(x) \to \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (ixF(x))e^{i\alpha x} dx. \]

Uniformly convergent.

The last integral is a bounded continuous function of \( \alpha, -\infty < \alpha < +\infty \).

Now
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} F_h(\alpha) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\hat{F}(\alpha + h) - \hat{F}(\alpha)}{h} \]
\[ = (\hat{F})(\alpha) \]
\[ = (\hat{f})^{(n)}(\alpha) \]
\[ = (\hat{f})^{(n+1)}(\alpha). \]

Hence
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (ix)^{(n+1)}f(x)e^{i\alpha x} dx, 1 \leq n \leq m - 1. \]

this shows that the result is true for any positive integer \( m \).

Therefore
\[ (\hat{f})^{(m)}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (ix)^{(m)}f(x)e^{i\alpha x} dx. \]

However
\[ \left| (\hat{f})^{(m)}(\alpha) \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (ix)^{(m)}f(x)e^{i\alpha x} dx \right| \]
\[ \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(ix)^{(m)}f(x)|e^{i\alpha x} dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(ix)^{(m)}f(x)| dx. \]

Hence the proof is complete. ■
**Theorem 2.2:**

Let \( f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \), \( f(x) \) is continuously differentiable \( m \) times and \( f^{(r)}(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \) for \( 0 \leq r \leq m. \)

Then

\[
\left( f^{(m)}(x) \right)^\wedge(\alpha) = (-i\alpha)^m \hat{f}(\alpha)
\]

so that

\[
\left| \alpha^m \hat{f}(\alpha) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f^{(m)}(x) \right| dx.
\]

**Proof:**

First, we calculate the formula \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{iax} dx. \)

Let \( \alpha \) be real number, For \( R > 0, \) \( \alpha \neq 0, \) we have

\[
\int_{-R}^{R} f(x)e^{iax} dx = \left[ f(x) \frac{e^{iax}}{i\alpha} \right]_{-R}^{R} - \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{e^{iax}}{i\alpha} f'(x) dx
\]

Now \( f(x) \) tends to finite limits as \( x \to \pm \infty, \) then

\[
f(x) - f(0) = \int_{0}^{x} f'(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad f' \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).
\]

So that

\[
f(\pm \infty) = f(0) + \int_{-R}^{R} f'(t) dt. \quad (2.6)
\]

But \( f(\pm \infty) = 0, \) hence letting \( R \to +\infty \) in (2.1), we have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{iax} dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iax}}{i\alpha} f'(x) dx \neq 0. \quad (2.7)
\]

By the same argument, we have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{iax} dx = (-1)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iax}}{(i\alpha)^m} f^{(m)}(x) dx \neq 0.
\]

(2.8)

this implies that

\[
(-i\alpha)^m \hat{f}(\alpha) = \left( f^{(m)}(x) \right)^\wedge(\alpha) \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \neq 0.
\]
This holds also for \( \alpha = 0 \) by continuity (since the left hand side is zero and the right side is
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{(m)}(x) dx = 0.
\]

Now
\[
\left|(-ix)^m \hat{f}(\alpha)\right| = \left|\alpha^m \hat{f}(\alpha)\right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|f^{(m)}(x)\right| dx
\]
\[
\leq \left\|f^{(m)}\right\| < +\infty, \quad (2.9)
\]

By Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (which says that the Fourier transform of \( f \) tends to 0 as \( z \) tends to infinity) and by (2.9) also \( f \) is an arbitrary measurable function, and since \( f^{(m)} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty) \), we conclude that
\[
\hat{f}(\alpha) = 0 \left(\frac{1}{|\alpha|^m}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad |\alpha| \to +\infty.
\]

Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 2.3**: 
If \( \hat{f} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty) \), then the integral
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\alpha) e^{-i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]
defines a continuous function of \( x \).

**Proof**: 
Let
\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\alpha) e^{-i\alpha x} d\alpha,
\]
then consider
\[
f(x+h)-f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\alpha) e^{-i\alpha x}\left(e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right) d\alpha
\]
\[
|f(x+h)-f(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\alpha) e^{-i\alpha x}\left[e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right] d\alpha \right|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|\hat{f}(\alpha)\left[e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right]\right| d\alpha.
\]

But
\[
\left|\hat{f}(\alpha)\right| \left|e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right| \leq 2 \left|\hat{f}(\alpha)\right| \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty),
\]
and
\[
\left|\hat{f}(\alpha)\right| \left|e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad h \to 0.
\]

For almost all \( \alpha \in L_1(-\infty, +\infty) \). It follows from Riemann-Lebesgue’s Theorem on dominated convergence that
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|\hat{f}(\alpha)\right| \left|e^{-i\alpha h} - 1\right| d\alpha \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad h \to 0.
\]
and hence \( f \) is continuous function at the point \( x \), where \( x \in (-\infty, +\infty) \). Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Remark 2.4:**

We indicate that if \( f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty) \), it does not necessarily that the Fourier transform \( \hat{f}(\alpha) \) belongs to \( L_1(-\infty < \alpha < +\infty) \), we can see in the following example:

Taking

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\
0, & \text{for } |x| > 1 
\end{cases}
\]

It is clearly that \( f(x) \in L_1(-\infty < x < +\infty) \), but for \( \alpha \) any real, \(-\infty < \alpha < +\infty\), we have

\[
\hat{f}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i\alpha x} dx \\
= \int_{-1}^{1} e^{i\alpha x} dx \\
= 2 \int_{0}^{1} \cos \alpha x \, dx \\
= 2 \left( \frac{\sin \alpha x}{\alpha} \right) \bigg|_{x=1}^{x=0} \\
= 2 \frac{\sin \alpha}{\alpha}.
\]

Note that here \( \hat{f}(\alpha) \notin L_1(-\infty < \alpha < +\infty) \).

**Definition 2.1**

We say that \( f: X \rightarrow Y \) has a compact support, if \( f \) vanishes outside some compact subset of \( X \), where \( X, Y \) are topological spaces.

Now apply [12] and theorem 2.1, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4**

Let \( f \) has a compact support in \( C \), and define

\[
\forall x \in C : F(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i\alpha x} dx,
\]

then \( F(\alpha) \) is analytic in \( C \).

**Proof:**

Firstly we prove that \( F(\alpha) \) defines a continuous function. Suppose that

\( \text{supp}\, f \subset [-R, R]. \)

Then \( \forall x \in \text{supp}\, f \), we have \( |e^{i\alpha x}| \leq e^{R|\alpha|} \), so that if \( h \rightarrow 0 \), then

\[
|F(\alpha + h) - F(\alpha)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\alpha x} (e^{i\alpha h} - 1)f(x) dx \right|
\]

and note that, for small \( |h| \).
\[ e^{iax}(e^{-iah} - 1)f(x) \leq (R|h)|e^{R(|\alpha|+1)}|f(x)| \to 0 \text{ so} \]
\[ e^{iax}(e^{-iah} - 1)f'(x) \leq (R|h)|e^{R(|\alpha|+1)}|f'(x)| \]
which is integrable and so the dominated convergence theorem tells us that \( F \) is continuous.

We now claim that \( F \) is analytic. For this let
\[ g(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (ix)e^{iax}f(x)dx. \]

Note that \( g \) makes sense because \( f \) has compact support. To show that \( F \) is analytic, we only need to show that
\[ \lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( e^{ih\alpha} - 1 - ih\alpha \right)e^{iax}h(x)dx \]
\[ |x| < R, \text{ we have } \frac{e^{ih\alpha} - 1 - ih\alpha}{|h|} \to 0, \text{ as } |h| \to 0, \text{ because } ix \text{ is the derivative of } e^{iax} \text{ at } \alpha = 0. \]
Moreover,
\[ \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \left( e^{ih\alpha} - 1 - ih\alpha \right)e^{iax}f(x) \right| \leq ce^{R(|\alpha|+1)}|f(x)| \]
which is integrable, and so the D.C.T tells us that, in fact
\[ \lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{F(\alpha + h) - F(\alpha) - g(\alpha)}{|h|} = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{, let } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and} \]

Hence the proof is complete. \( \blacksquare \)

Now, we give some propriety in Schwartz's space \( S \) using the main result.

3. THE SPACE \( S \) OF L.SCHWARTZ

We denote by \( S \) the Schwartz's space of all complex-valued functions \( f(x) \) of real variable \( x, -\infty < x < +\infty \), such that \( f \) is differentiable infinitely often, and for any integers \( p, q \),
\[ x^pf^{(q)}(x) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to 0, \]
where \( f^{(q)} \) denoting the \( q^{th} \) derivative of \( f \). More details may be found in \([13-17]\).

Proposition 3.1:

1) If \( f \in S(R) \), then \( x^lf^{(m)}(x) \) is bounded, and belongs to \( L_q(R) \), for any integers \( l, m \geq 0 \).

2) If \( f \in S \), then \( \left( x^lf(x) \right)^{(m)} \) is bounded, and belongs to \( L_q(R) \), for any integers \( l, m \geq 0 \).

Proof:

1) let \( f \in S \), then for any integers \( l, m \geq 0 \), there is \( M_0 > 0 \) and \( M_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
|x^l f^{(m)}(x)| < M_0 < +\infty. \quad (3.1)
\]
and
\[
|x^{l+2} f^{(m)}(x)| < M_1 < +\infty. \quad (3.2)
\]
From (3.1) and (3.2), we have
\[
|x^l f^{(m)}(x)| < \frac{M}{1+x^2} \in L_1(R).
\]

2) This follows from (1), if we just use the rule for the differentiation of a product. Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 3.2**: If \( f(x) \in S(R) \), then the Fourier transform \( \hat{f}(\alpha) \) belongs to \( S(-\infty < x < +\infty) \).

**Proof**: Let \( f(x) \in S(R) \), then by (1) of proposition president we have \( x^l f(x) \in L_1(R) \), for any integer \( l \geq 0 \), so that by theorem 2.1 \( \hat{f}(\alpha) \) is differentiable infinitely often.

On the other hand, for \( l \) and \( m \) are positive integers, then by theorem 2.1, we have
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( i\alpha \right)^l f(x) e^{i\alpha x} dx, \quad (3.3)
\]
and by theorem 2.2, we have
\[
\left| \alpha^m \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l f(x) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ (i\alpha)^l f(x) \right\}^{(m)} dx. \quad (3.4)
\]
Since \( \left( x^l f(x) \right)^{(m)} \in L_1(R) \), from (3.4) and by Riemann Lebeague Theorem, we conclude that
\[
\left| \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l f(x) \alpha \right| = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^m} \right), \quad as \quad |\alpha| \to 0. \quad (3.5)
\]
Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Proposition 3.2**: Let \( \Phi(x) \in S(R) \) and \( f \in L_2(R) \) such that \( x f(x) \in L_2(R) \), and the Fourier transform is such that \( \hat{f}(\xi) \in L_2(R) \), then \( \exists g \in L_2(R) \) such that
\[
\int f(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) + x \Phi(x) \right) dx = \int g(x) \Phi(x) dx
\]

**Proof**: Since \( x f(x) \in L_2(R) \) is given, we only need to find \( \exists g_1 \in L_2(R) \) satisfying
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(x) \Phi(x) dx , \quad \forall \Phi \in S(R) \)
and then
\[
g = g_1 + x f(x) \quad \text{will solve the problem. If we set} \quad \Phi = \psi, \quad \text{as we can with} \quad \psi \in S(R) \quad \text{uniquely determined, then}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dx} \Phi = i \xi \psi(\xi), \text{ so}
\]
\[ \int_R f(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \right) dx = i \int_R \xi \hat{\psi}(\xi) d\xi \]

\[ = i \int_R f(x) \hat{\xi} \psi(\xi) d\xi \]

\[ = \int_R g_1(\xi) \psi(\xi) d\xi \]

\[ = \int_R g_1(x) \Phi(x) dx \]

where by definition \( g_1 \in L_2(R) \) is the function with Fourier transform equal to \( i \hat{\xi} \psi(\xi) \) in \( L_2(R) \) by assumption. Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Proposition 3.3:** There exist an element \( f \in S(R) \) with \( \int_R |f|^2 dx = 1 \), and

\[ \int_R x^k f(x) dx = 0, \forall k. \]

**Proof:** If \( f \in S(R) \), then it’s Fourier transform is Schwartz and conversely. We also know that

\[ \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \hat{f}(0) = (-i)^k \int_R x^k f(x) dx. \]

Thus we just have to arrange that \( \hat{f} \) and all it’s derivatives vanish at the origin. We do know that there is a non-trivial Schwartz function which vanishes outside the interval \([1, 2] \) for instance. Taking this at the Fourier transform of \( cf \) and when choosing the positive constant so that \( \|f\|_{L_2} = 1 \), we get that

\[ \int_R x^k f(x) dx = 0, \forall k. \]

Hence the proof is complete. ■
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